	1
	2
	3
	4
	5 6 7
	6
	7
	8
	9
	9 10 11 12
	11 12
	12
8 6	13
Telephone (805) 330-3393 Facsimile (702) 369-9630	14
5) 33C 2) 369	15
ne (80 le (702	16 17 18
lephol acsimil	17
Te F2	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23

Murphy & Murphy Law Offices

4482 Market Street, Ste 407

Ventura, California

Craig M. Murphy, Esq.
California Bar No. 314526
craig@nvpilaw.com
MURPHY & MURPHY LAW OFFICES
4482 Market Street, Ste 40
Ventura, CA 93003
(805) 330-3393 Phone
(702) 369-9630 Fax
Attorney for Plaintiffs

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHANNON KLINGELHOFFER and STEPHEN AVIGIAN, husband and wife, individually and as the parents of A.K., a minor;

Case No.: Dept. No.:

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TARGET CORPORATION, a foreign corporation d/b/a TARGET; KEHE DISTRIBUTORS, LLC, a foreign limited-liability company; KEHE DISTRIBUTORS, INC., a foreign corporation; KEHE ENTERPRISES, LLC, a foreign limited-liability company; WORLD FINER FOODS, INC., a foreign corporation; and WORLD FINER FOODS, LLC, a foreign limited-liability company; DOES 1-10, inclusive; and ROE ENTITIES 1-10, inclusive,

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendants.

COME NOW the Plaintiffs, Shannon Klingelhoffer and Stephen Avigian, husband and wife, individually and as parents of A.K., a minor, by and through their attorney of record, Craig Murphy, Esq. of Murphy & Murphy Law Offices, and allege and state as follows:

25

26

27

28

24

## **PARTIES**

At all times relevant to this action, the Plaintiffs resided in San Francisco County, California. The Plaintiffs are therefore citizens of the State of California.

		~	
ste 40	93003	-339	-9630
rreer,	ornia	5) 33C	369
rrket 2	. Calife	1e (80)	e (702
4482 Market Street, Ste 407	Ventura, California 93003	Telephone (805) 330-3393	Facsimile (702) 369-9630
44	Š	$^{\mathrm{Te}}$	$F_{\tilde{e}}$

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.	At	all t	imes	relevant	to t	his	action,	Defendant	t Target
	Corporation	n was	a Minr	nesota cor	porati	on wi	th its p	rincipal	place of
	business 1	ocated	d in M	Minneapolis	, Min	nesot	a. Targe	t is the	erefore a
	citizen of	the	State	of Minneso	ota.	At a	ll times	relevant	to this
	action, Tar	rget o	wned ar	nd operated	d the	Targe	et retail	store lo	cated at
	401 Kenilwo	orth Dr	rive, P	etaluma, Ca	alifor	nia.			

- At all times relevant to this action, Defendant KeHE Distributors, LLC, was a Delaware limited-liability company with its principal place of business in Naperville, Illinois. On information and belief, KeHE's only member is Brandon Barnholt, who resides in Naperville, Illinois. Therefore, KeHE Distributors, LLC is a citizen of the States of Illinois and Delaware.
- At all times relevant to this action, Defendant KeHE Distributors, Inc., was a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Naperville, Illinois. Therefore, KeHE Distributors, Inc. is a citizen of the States of Delaware and Illinois.
- At all times relevant to this action, Defendant KeHE Enterprises, LLC, was a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Naperville, Illinois. Therefore, KeHE Enterprises, LLC is a citizen of the States of Delaware and Illinois.
- At all times relevant to this action, Defendant World Finer Foods, Inc. was a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located in Bloomfield, New Jersey. World Finer Foods, Inc. is therefore a citizen of the States of Delaware and New Jersey.
- At all times relevant to this action, Defendant World Finer Foods, LLC was a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Bloomfield, New Jersey. World Finer Foods, LLC is therefore a citizen of the States of Delaware and New Jersey.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

8.

DOES 1 through 10 inclusive are persons, and ROE ENTITIES 1 through 10 are corporations, related subsidiary or parent entities, associations, or business entities, whose true names and identities and capacities are unknown to Plaintiffs at this time. The DOE Defendants are individual persons acting on behalf of or in concert with, or at the direction of, any of the Defendants. The ROE Defendants may be corporations, associations, partnerships, subsidiaries, holding companies, owners, predecessor or successor entities, joint ventures, parent corporations, related business entities or the employer of any of the Defendants. Each named Defendant and the DOE and ROE Defendants are legally responsible for the events and happenings stated in this Complaint, and thus proximately caused injury and damages to Plaintiffs. In particular, said DOE and ROE Defendants are responsible in full or in part for the manufacture, distribution, processing, preparation, contamination, and sale of the ingredients in and the I. M. Healthy brand SoyNut Butter sold to or by Defendants herein. Plaintiff will ask leave of this Court to insert the true names and capacities for such DOE and ROE Defendants when discovered to substitute those true names as defendants into these proceedings for said DOE and ROE Defendants.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because the matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of costs, it is between citizens of different states, and because the Defendants have certain minimum contacts with the State of California such that maintenance of the suit in this district does not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

Telephone (805) 330-3393 Facsimile (702) 369–9630 10. Venue in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California is proper pursuant to 28 USC § 1391(a)(1) and (2) because the Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district, and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the Plaintiffs' claims and causes of action occurred in this judicial district.

#### **FACTS**

## The Outbreak

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 11. In March 2017, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), along with state and local health officials, attributed a multi-state outbreak of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O157:H7 to I.M. Healthy brand SoyNut Butter.
- Epidemiologic investigation by federal, state, and local public health officials, including Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and Food and Drug Administration (FDA), found that at least 32 people had acquired E. coli 0157:H7 infections by consuming contaminated I.M. Healthy SoyNut Butter. This included residents of Arizona (4), California (5), Florida (2), Illinois (1), Massachusetts (1), Maryland (1), Missouri (1), New Jersey (1), Oregon (11), Virginia (2), Washington (2), and Wisconsin (1). Twelve individuals were hospitalized due to their infection, and nine developed hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS).
- The I.M. Healthy brand of soy nut butter products identified as 13. the contaminated food item in this outbreak were produced jointly by The SoyNut Butter Company and Dixie Dew Products, Inc., at a facility owned by Dixie Dew in Kentucky. On March 28, 2017, once the epidemiologic investigation described previously had identified I.M.

Telephone (805) 330-3393 Facsimile (702) 369–9630 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Healthy brand soy	nut butter p	roducts as th	ne source of	the out	break,
the Food and Drug	g Administration	on (FDA) cond	ducted a com	prehensi	ve
investigation at	the Dixie Dew	facility. A	Among other	things,	the FDA
found:					
		1111			

- grossly insanitary conditions;
- b. food contact surfaces, floors, walls, and ceilings in the soy nut butter processing and packaging rooms were heavily coated with soy nut butter build-up from previous production runs;
- that Dixie Dew does not routinely wash and sanitize smaller C. pipes, pipe fittings, gaskets, seals, "or the rubber plug" when broken down following a production run;
- d. that Dixie Dew does not conduct a kill step for SoyNut Butter product remaining in its mixing kettle leftover from a production run;
- that certain equipment in the facility routinely shuts off е. during processing-approximately one to two times per dayand that the problem has persisted for approximately 15 years despite repeated maintenance intended to correct the problem;
- f. that a thermometer used during the production of the subject product has never been verified for accuracy;
- that a temperature probe and chart recorder used during the g. production process for the subject product does not function properly and has not been used for well over a year;
- that Dixie Dew's food safety testing program is h. problematic-among other things, due to the failure to

Yentura, California 93003 Telephone (805) 330–3393 Facsimile (702) 369–9630	
---	--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

perform	microbial	. testing	where	necessary	to	identif
nossible	e food cor	ut ami nati	on•			

- that FDA inspectors found that testing materials on hand at Dixie Dew had expired in July 2016 and October 2015.
- that Dixie Dew had a fly infestation problem, and that small apparent flies and fly larvae, too numerous to count, were inside an unplugged chest freezer;
- 14. On March 4, 2017, as a result of epidemiologic and environmental evidence indicating that its soy nut butter products were the source of the outbreak, The SoyNut Butter Company recalled I.M. Healthy Original Creamy Soy Nut Butter with "best by" dates July 5, August 30, and August 31, 2018. This recall also included individual portion cups of the same product with best by dates in July, August, and November 2018.
- On March 7, 2017, The SoyNut Butter Company expanded its recall to include all varieties of I.M. Healthy soy nut butters and all varieties of I.M. Healthy granola products, regardless of production or best by date.
- Plaintiff was one of multiple individuals to make a claim for personal injuries against The SoyNut Butter Company and Dixie Dew as a result of this outbreak. Both companies filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy, and the assets of these companies available to satisfy personal injury claims arising from this outbreak, including Plaintiffs', were insufficient to fairly compensate the claimants.
- At all times relevant to this action, Defendants KeHE 17. Distributors, LLC, KeHE Distributors, Inc., and KeHE Enterprises, LLC were all alter egos of one another and are referred to collectively as "Defendant Kehe" in this complaint. These entities jointly or independently distributed I.M. Healthy SoyNut Butter. On information

- 18. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants World Finer Foods, Inc. and World Finer Foods, LLC were alter egos of one another and are referred to collectively as "Defendant World Finer" in this complaint. These entities jointly or independently distributed I.M. Healthy SoyNut Butter. On information and belief, Brandon Barnholt is a primary member and/or office of all of these entities. There thus exists a unity of interest and ownership between these related entities, the exact relationship of which is not fully known by Plaintiffs, and both are liable in this action.
- 19. Defendants Kehe and World Finer are distributors of various food products to retail stores and foodservice locations nationally.

  Defendants Kehe and World Finer each acquired and distributed recalled soy nut butter products, including to Target and other retail stores nationally.
- 20. With regard to the contaminated jar of I.M. Healthy Soy Nut

  Butter that Plaintiffs purchased at Target, as described below, World

  Finer acquired this product from The SoyNut Butter Company and

  distributed it to Kehe. Kehe then distributed the product to the

  Target store location where Plaintiffs purchased it.

## E. coli 0157:H7

21. E. coli is an archetypal commensal bacterial species that lives in mammalian intestines. E. coli O157:H7 is one of thousands of serotypes Escherichia coli. The combination of letters and numbers in the name of the E. coli O157:H7 refers to the specific antigens

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 22. E. coli 0157:H7 was first recognized as a pathogen in 1982 during an investigation into an outbreak of hemorrhagic colitis associated with consumption of hamburgers from a fast food chain restaurant.

  Retrospective examination of more than three thousand E. coli cultures obtained between 1973 and 1982 found only one (1) isolation with serotype 0157:H7, and that was a case in 1975. In the ten (10) years that followed there were approximately thirty (30) outbreaks recorded in the United States. This number is likely misleading, however, because E. coli 0157:H7 infections did not become a reportable disease in any state until 1987 when Washington became the first state to mandate its reporting to public health authorities. As a result, only the most geographically concentrated outbreak would have garnered enough notice to prompt further investigation.
- 23. E. coli 0157:H7's ability to induce injury in humans is a result of its ability to produce numerous virulence factors, most notably

Shiga-like toxins. Shiga toxin (Stx) has multiple variants (e.g. Stx1, Stx2, Stx2c), and acts like the plant toxin ricin by inhibiting protein synthesis in endothelial and other cells. Shiga toxin is one of the most potent toxins known. In addition to Shiga toxins, *E. coli* O157:H7 produces numerous other putative virulence factors including proteins, which aid in the attachment and colonization of the bacteria in the intestinal wall and which can lyse red blood cells and liberate iron to help support *E. coli* metabolism.

- E. coli 0157:H7 evolved from enteropathogenic E. coli serotype 055:H7, a cause of non-bloody diarrhea, through the sequential acquisition of phage-encoded Stx2, a large virulence plasmid, and additional chromosomal mutations. The rate of genetic mutation of E. coli 0157:H7 indicates that the common ancestor of current E. coli 0157:H7 clades likely existed some 20,000 years ago. E. coli 0157:H7 is a relentlessly evolving organism, constantly mutating and acquiring new characteristics, including virulence factors that make the emergence of more dangerous variants a constant threat. The CDC has emphasized the prospect of emerging pathogens as a significant public health threat for some time.
- 25. Although foods of a bovine origin are the most common cause of both outbreaks and sporadic cases of *E. coli* O157:H7 infections, outbreak of illnesses have been linked to a wide variety of food items. For example, produce has, since at least 1991, been the source of substantial numbers of outbreak-related *E. coli* O157:H7 infections. Other unusual vehicles for *E. coli* O157:H7 outbreaks have included unpasteurized juices, yogurt, dried salami, mayonnaise, raw milk, game meats, sprouts, and raw cookie dough.
- 26. According to a recent study, an estimated 93,094 illnesses are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

due to domestically acquired E. coli 0157:H7 each year in the United

- 27. What makes *E. coli* 0157:H7 remarkably dangerous is its very low infectious dose, and how relatively difficult it is to kill these bacteria. Unlike *Salmonella*, for example, which usually requires something approximating an "egregious food handling error, *E. coli* 0157:H7 in ground beef that is only slightly undercooked can result in infection," as few as twenty (20) organisms may be sufficient to infect a person and, as a result, possibly kill them. And unlike generic *E. coli*, the 0157:H7 serotype multiplies at temperatures up to 44°F, survives freezing and thawing, is heat resistant, grows at temperatures up to 111°F, resists drying, and can survive exposure to acidic environments.
- 28. And, finally, to make it even more of a threat, *E. coli* 0157:H7 bacteria are easily transmitted by person-to-person contact. There is also the serious risk of cross-contamination between raw meat and other food items intended to be eaten without cooking. Indeed, a principle

Facsimile (702) 369–9630

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and consistent criticism of the USDA E. coli O157:H7 policy is the fact that it has failed to focus on the risks of cross-contamination versus that posed by so-called improper cooking. With this pathogen, there is ultimately no margin of error. It is for this precise reason that the USDA has repeatedly rejected calls from the meat industry to hold consumers primarily responsible for E. coli 0157:H7 infections caused, in part, by mistakes in food handling or cooking.

## Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome (HUS)

- 29. E. coli 0157:H7 infections can lead to a severe, life-threatening complication called hemolytic uremic syndrome ("HUS"). HUS accounts for the majority of the acute and chronic illness and death caused by the bacteria. HUS occurs in 2-7% of victims, primarily children, with onset five to ten days after diarrhea begins. It is the most common cause of renal failure in children. Approximately half of the children who suffer HUS require dialysis, and at least 5% of those who survive have long-term renal impairment. The same number suffers severe brain damage. While somewhat rare, serious injury to the pancreas, resulting in death or the development of diabetes, can also occur. There is no cure or effective treatment for HUS. And, tragically, as too many parents can attest, children with HUS too often die.
- 30. HUS is believed to develop when the toxin from the bacteria, known as Shiga-like toxin (SLT), enters the circulation through the inflamed bowel wall. SLT, and most likely other chemical mediators, attach to receptors on the inside surface of blood vessel cells (endothelial cells) and initiate a chemical cascade that results in the formation of tiny thrombi (blood clots) within these vessels. Some organs seem more susceptible, perhaps due to the presence of increased numbers of receptors, and include the kidney, pancreas, and brain. By

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

As already noted, there is no known therapy to halt the progression of HUS. HUS is a frightening complication that, even in the best American centers, has a notable mortality rate. Among survivors, at least five percent will suffer end stage renal disease (ESRD) with the resultant need for dialysis or transplantation. But "[b]ecause renal failure can progress slowly over decades, the eventual incidence of ESRD cannot yet be determined." Other long-term problems include the risk for hypertension, proteinuria (abnormal amounts of protein in the urine that can portend a decline in renal function), and reduced kidney filtration rate. Other long-term problems include the risk for hypertension, proteinuria (abnormal amounts of protein in the urine that can portend a decline in renal function), and reduced kidney filtration rate. Since the longest available follow-up studies of HUS victims are 25 years, an accurate lifetime prognosis is not really available and remains controversial. All that can be said for certain is that HUS causes permanent injury, including loss of kidney function, and it requires a lifetime of close medical monitoring.

## A.K.'s E. coli O157:H7 Infection

32. The Plaintiffs purchased multiple jars of I.M. Healthy SoyNut

Butter that caused the injuries giving rise to this Complaint on

January 11 and January 30, 2017, from Target stores located in the area

of San Francisco, California. A.K. consumed product from these jars on

several occasions in the days leading up to the onset of his illness.

At least one of these jars of soy nut butter was contaminated by E.

coli 0157:H7, causing A.K.'s severe illness.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

33.	On	or	about	February	1,	2017,	A.K.	dev	veloped	abo	dominal	cr	amps,	
	diarrhea,	ar	nd othe	er flu-li	ke	sympton	ms.	His	conditi	ion	worsen	∍d	over	the
	next seve	eral	davs	_										

- On February 4, 2017, A.K.'s illness had become so severe that his parents sought medical care in the emergency department at Kaiser Medical Center San Francisco. He was hospitalized for several days.
- 35. While in the hospital, A.K. underwent surgery for a preoperative diagnosis of intestinal intussusception. A stool sample submitted while he was in the emergency department eventually tested positive for Shiga toxin 2 and E. coli 0157.
- A.K. was discharged on February 6, 2017. He continued to have 36. follow-up appointments for several weeks afterwards with his pediatrician to monitor his system for continued adverse effects of his E. coli infection.

#### COUNT I

### (Strict Products Liability)

- 37. The Plaintiffs incorporate the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, by this reference, as if each of these paragraphs were set forth here in its entirety.
- 38. The Defendants distributed and sold the contaminated I.M. Healthy SoyNut Butter product that the Plaintiffs purchased on January 11 and 30, 2017, from Target stores in the San Francisco area, which caused A.K.'s illness and injuries. This product will hereafter be called the "subject product."
- 39. The Defendants, and each of them, regularly purchased, distributed, and sold I.M. Healthy SoyNut Butter products. Defendants, and each of them, purchased, distributed, and sold the subject product.

40	Food that is contaminated by $E.\ coli$ O157:H7 is unsafe when put
	to its reasonably foreseeable use considering the nature of the
	product. Namely, E. coli 0157:H7 contaminated food is unfit for human
	consumption.

- 41. The subject product was contaminated by *E. coli* O157:H7 when it left the control of the Defendants. A.K.'s consumption of the contaminated food caused him to become infected by *E. coli* O157:H7 and to suffer injuries as a direct and proximate result of that consumption.
- 42. The Defendants are strictly liable to the Plaintiffs for the harm proximately caused by the distribution and sale of an unsafe and defective food product.

### COUNT II

### (Negligence)

- 43. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and makes a part of this Count each and every foregoing paragraph of this Complaint.
- 44. The Defendants had a duty to comply with all statutory and regulatory provisions that pertained or applied to the distribution, storage, labeling, and sale of the food products that injured A.K., including the applicable provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, and similar California food and public health statutes, including without limitation the provisions of the California Health & Safety Code Article 5, all of which prohibit the sale of any food that is adulterated, or otherwise injurious to health.
- 45. The subject product was adulterated within the meaning of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, and similar California statutes, because it contained a deleterious substance that rendered it injurious to health, i.e., E. coli O157:H7 bacteria.

	11
	12
	13
	14
(20)	15
_	16
	17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

3

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

46.	The Defendants violated federal, state, and local food safety
	regulations by their sale of adulterated food. These federal, state,
	and local food safety regulations are applicable here, and establish a
	positive and definite standard of care in the sale of food. The
	violation of these regulations constitutes negligence as a matter of
	law.

- A.K. is in the class of persons intended to be protected by these statutes and regulations, and A.K. was injured as the direct and proximate result of the Defendants' violation of applicable federal, state, and local food safety regulations.
- The Defendants were negligent in the distribution and sale of a 48. food product that was adulterated with E. coli 0157:H7, not fit for human consumption, and not reasonably safe because adequate warnings or instructions were not provided.
- The Defendants had a duty to sell food products that were from reliable sources and that were clean, wholesome, free from adulteration and fit for human consumption, but failed to do so, and therefore breached that duty.
- 50. The Defendants were negligent in the selection of suppliers, or other agents or subcontractors, and failed to adequately supervise them, or provide them with adequate standards, and, as a result, sold food that was adulterated with E. coli 0157:H7.
- 51. The Defendants' various acts and omissions of negligence proximately caused A.K.'s E. coli O157:H7 infection and related illness, injuries, and damages.

#### COUNT III

#### (Breach of Express and Implied Warranties)

52. The Plaintiffs incorporate the preceding paragraphs of this

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Facsimile (702) 369–9630

Complaint,	рÀ	this	reference,	as	if	each	of	these	paragraphs	were	set
forth here	in	its	entiretv.								

- 53. By offering I.M. Healthy SoyNut Butter products for sale to the public, Defendants impliedly warranted that such products were safe to eat, that they were not adulterated with a deadly pathogen, and that the products had been safely prepared under sanitary conditions.
- 54. The Defendants breached these implied warranties by distributing and selling the subject product, because it was contaminated by E. coli O157:H7.
- 55. Further, the label of I.M. Healthy SoyNut Butter promises that consumers will be "Healthy" if they purchase and consume the soy nut butter.
- By assuring the Plaintiffs that the I.M. Healthy soy nut butter 56. was healthy and that it was a "natural product," Defendants expressly warranted that the food that they sold, distributed and supplied was fit for the Plaintiffs' consumption.
- Defendants breached their express warranty as described above in 57. that the food that they sold, distributed and supplied was not fit for Plaintiffs' consumption.
- 58. The Plaintiffs' injuries proximately and directly resulted from the Defendants' breach of express and implied warranties, and the Plaintiffs are thus entitled to recover for all actual, consequential, and incidental damages that flow directly and in a foreseeable fashion from these breaches.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

#### WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs pray as follows:

That the Court award the Plaintiffs judgment against Defendants (1)for damages.

Facsimile (702) 369–9630

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# 

(2) That the Court award all such other sums as shall be determined
to fully and fairly compensate the Plaintiffs for all general, special,
incidental, and consequential damages incurred, or to be incurred, by the
Plaintiffs as the direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions of
the Defendants;
3) That the Court award the Plaintiffs their costs, disbursements,

- and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred;
- 4) That the Court award the Plaintiffs the opportunity to amend or modify the provisions of this Complaint as necessary or appropriate after additional or further discovery is completed in this matter, and after all appropriate parties have been served; and
- (5) That the Court award such other and further relief as it deems necessary and proper in the circumstances.

## JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Plaintiffs demand trial by jury on all issues raised herein. DATED June 13, 2019.

MURPHY & MURPHY LAW OFFICES

a Bar No. 3145 Market Street, St

CA 93003 ura, (805) 330-3393 Phone (702) 369-9630 Fax Attorney for Plaintiff